It is the essence of revolutions that contemporaries seek to integrate them into what is familiar. Traditional explanations are adapted to account for occurrences never before imagined; old doctrines are made to apply to circumstances not even conceived when they were developed. When the new grows organically out of the old the strain created by this process is sometimes not apparent. But when reality differs radically from our explanation of it, frustration, ambivalence and confusion has been the result.

So it has been with much of Western strategic thought since the advent of nuclear weapons at the end of World War II. The scale of experience on which the "expertise" of most "professional" has been formed has been almost completely overtaken by the new technology. In the past, much of military thought addressed itself to a stable technology; or else it was understood that an advantage could always be gained by increasing the
If the essence of evolution is to understand the principle explanation of the events that have happened to the world, we have to go back to the earliest stages of human history and see how they relate to our own lives. The idea of evolution is not only the product of our own minds but also a reflection of the world we live in. We have to understand the processes of evolution to comprehend the nature of life itself.

To understand the essence of evolution, we have to look at the way in which the world has changed over time. Evolution is the process by which species change and adapt to their environment. It is a continuous process that has been going on for millions of years.

The study of evolution has been a source of controversy throughout history. Some people believe that evolution is a scientific fact, while others believe that it is a religious concept. However, the evidence for evolution is overwhelming and cannot be ignored.

In conclusion, the study of evolution is essential for understanding the world we live in. It is a process that has shaped the history of the Earth and has given rise to the diverse array of life forms that exist today.
destructiveness of weapons and the speed of their delivery. Now technology is pressing against the margins of what is strategically significant in both these categories. When one bomb can destroy a city and missiles span continents in minutes, little is gained by additional increments of destructiveness and speed. Instead, the manner of employment, the protection of available forces, the balance between offensive and defensive capabilities determine the shape both of strategy and in a sense of politics.

It has been hard for men trained in traditional patterns to adjust to this upheaval. As a result, much of the most fundamental thinking in the field of strategy is now done by scholars, who, unencumbered with an almost useless tradition, have sought to fill an intellectual void.

Bernard Broide is one of these scholars. He was one of the first to recognize the strategic significance of nuclear weapons. He was among those who early saw the paradox that every increase in our destructive ability had a tendency to paralyze the will to resort to it. His writings have influenced most of those who have concerned themselves with this topic.
Mr. Brodie's new book maintains the standard of his previous work. It is written with a rare lucidity. It can therefore be profitably read by layman. At the same time, it represents a level of scholarship and experience which makes it of substantial interest for the expert.

"Strategy in the Missile Age" contains two parts. The first (comprising about a third of the book) deals with origins of air strategy. The second outlines the applications to the future. Part I is a brilliant analysis of the part traditional doctrines have played in shaping American postwar strategic thought. Its scathing commentary on Marshal Foch's theories is a subtle criticism of some current official views. Its compassionate discussion of Douhet goes far to explain the obsession with the offensive in air doctrine and the difficulty we have had in interpreting the lessons of World War II.

The second part entitled "New Problems and New Approaches" of necessity would have difficulty measuring up to this standard. Since the focus is on the future, it is inevitably more conjectural. It discusses brilliantly the wish for total solutions in American strategic thought. With extraordinary clarity it deals with the paradoxes in our strategic
thought and planning: we are politically committed to the defensive but that we have designed a military establishment which will be most useful if we strike the first blow. Although we have postulated that a war would start with a Soviet attack on us, we have done next to nothing to protect our civilian population. Even though the Soviet Union has long since developed a capability to attack the United States, our retaliatory force is still insufficiently dispersed and inadequately protected. We speak of NATO as a "trip wire" even though an opponent faced with the certainty of a retaliatory blow would almost surely attack us first.

These and similar paradoxes are brought out extremely well in Mr. Brodie's book. The discussion on the anatomy of deterrence is the best concise summary of a complicated subject. The difficulty with the second half of Mr. Brodie's book is not that the parts of it are not excellent. It is that the tread of the argument is not always apparent. For all the subtlety and inciveness of individual portions there is a somewhat random quality about the section as a whole. It is not always fully clear what over-all conclusion one is to draw from the frequently
There may be similar situations as the present one. How about it?
brilliant and always lucid analysis.

However, this difficulty may be inherent in the subject matter.

Who can speak definitely when there is so little experience on which to draw? Who would draw final conclusions when even experts have difficulty defining the nature of their disagreements? Mr. Brodie has written a book that illuminates our dilemma. It provides useful tools for thinking about solutions. It deserves to be widely read.
Unfortunately, the text on the page cannot be accurately transcribed due to the quality of the image. The text appears to be a mixture of words and phrases that do not form a coherent sentence.